Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/823,387	JACKSON, KATHLEEN NYLUND
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Benjamin H. Layno	3711
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Benjamin H. Layno</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mark Litman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 September 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 6, 8, 10, 17, 19, 24 and 26		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
The Examiner indicated that the claims are allowable, however several claims depended upon canceled claim 2. The Applicant's representative agreed to a proposed amendment correcting the dependancy of thes claims.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
M - Q1 -		
Doni H. Ty		
(Exam/ner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)